

CAYLEY GRAPHS OF DIAMETER TWO FROM DIFFERENCE SETS

ALEXANDER POTT AND YUE ZHOU

ABSTRACT. Let $C(d, k)$ and $AC(d, k)$ be the largest order of a Cayley graph and a Cayley graph based on an abelian group, respectively, of degree d and diameter k . When $k = 2$, it is well-known that $C(d, 2) \leq d^2 + 1$ with equality if and only if the graph is a Moore graph. In the abelian case, we have $AC(d, 2) \leq \frac{d^2}{2} + d + 1$. The best currently lower bound on $AC(d, 2)$ is $\frac{3}{8}d^2 - 1.45d^{1.525}$ for all sufficiently large d . In this paper, we consider the construction of large graphs of diameter 2 using generalized difference sets. We show that $AC(d, 2) \geq \frac{25}{64}d^2 - 2.1d^{1.525}$ for sufficiently large d and $AC(d, 2) \geq \frac{4}{9}d^2$ if $d = 3q$, $q = 2^m$ and m is odd.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a graph Γ , the *distance* $d(u, v)$ from vertex u to vertex v is the length of a shortest u - v path in Γ . The largest distance between two vertices in Γ is the *diameter* of Γ . Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph of maximum degree d and diameter k . According to the Moore bound, Γ has at most $M_{d,k}$ vertices, where

$$M_{d,k} = \begin{cases} 1 + d \frac{(d-1)^k - 1}{d-2}, & \text{if } d > 2; \\ 2k + 1, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

When the order of V equals $M_{d,k}$, the graph Γ is called a *Moore graph*. Clearly complete graphs ($k = 1$) and cycles of odd order ($d = 2$) are Moore graphs.

The study of Moore graphs began with the work of Hoffman and Singleton [15]. It is not difficult to see that a Moore graph of diameter k is always regular and its girth, namely the length of the shortest cycle contained in it, is $2k + 1$. Furthermore, it can be shown that a Moore graph is distance regular. The Hoffman-Singleton theorem states that any Moore graph with diameter 2 must have valency 2, 3, 7 or perhaps also 57. The graphs corresponding to the first three valencies are the cycle of order 5, the Petersen graph and the Hoffman-Singleton graph. The existence of a Moore graph with valency 57 is still open. As proved by Damerell [7] as well as Bannai and Ito [3] independently, there are no other Moore graphs; see [5, Section 23] too.

As there are very few Moore graphs, it is interesting to ask the following so-called *Degree/Diameter* problem.

- Given positive integers d and k , find the largest possible number $N(d, k)$ of vertices in a graph with maximum degree d and diameter k .

Since this is still quite a difficult problem, the following two problems have been investigated.

Key words and phrases. Cayley graph; Degree-diameter problem; Group.

- Find good upper bounds for $N(d, k)$ by proving nonexistence of graphs.
- Construct large graphs to increase the lower bounds for $N(d, k)$.

We refer to [19] for a recent survey on the Degree/Diameter problem.

By far, the best lower bounds for $N(d, 2)$ follow from a construction by Brown [6]. The vertices of his graph are the set of points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, where q is a prime power. Two different points (a, b, c) and (x, y, z) are adjacent if and only if $ax + by + cz = 0$. This graph has $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices, it is not regular and its maximum degree $d = q + 1$. Therefore $N(d, 2) \geq d^2 - d + 1$ for $d = q + 1$. By extending the Brown's graphs appropriately, we can get rid of the strong restriction on d and show that $N(d, 2) \geq d^2 - 2d^{1.525}$ for sufficiently large integer d ; see [25]. Clearly, this bound asymptotically approaches the Moore one.

Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$ such that $S^{-1} = S$ and $e \notin S$. Here $S^{-1} := \{s^{-1} : s \in S\}$. The *Cayley graph* $\Gamma(G, S)$ has a vertex set G , and two distinct vertices g, h are adjacent if and only if $g^{-1}h \in S$. Here S is called the *generating set*. A Cayley graph is always vertex-transitive and regular, and its valency equals $\#S$. The following proposition gives us a strategy to construct Cayley graphs of diameter k .

Proposition 1.1. *The diameter of a Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ is k if and only if k is the smallest integer such that all elements in G appear in $\{\prod_{i=1}^k s_i : s_i \in S \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$.*

Cayley graphs have been extensively used in searching for lower bounds for $N(d, k)$. Actually several largest known graphs are Cayley graphs; see [1]. By Proposition 1.1, to construct Cayley graphs of diameter 2, we need to find a subset $S \subsetneq G$ such that $G = \{s_1 s_2 : s_1, s_2 \in S\}$. Let us use $C(d, k)$ to denote the largest order of Cayley graphs of valency d and diameter k . By Proposition 1.1, it is not difficult to see that $C(d, 2) \leq d(d - 1) + d + 1 = d^2 + 1$, which coincides with the Moore bound. Up to now, for $k = 2$ the best result is obtained by Šiagiová and Širáň in [24], in which it is proved that in a family of nonabelian groups there exist Cayley graphs of degree d , diameter 2 and order larger than $d^2 - 6\sqrt{2}d^{3/2}$ for infinitely many d . Hence this lower bound for Cayley graphs asymptotically approaches the Moore bound $d^2 + 1$ for all the graphs of diameter 2.

We use $AC(d, k)$ and $CC(d, k)$ to denote the largest order of Cayley graphs of valency d and diameter k based on abelian groups and cyclic groups respectively. By simple counting argument, we see that $AC(d, 2) \leq d^2/2 + d + 1$. More general results on $AC(d, k)$ are obtained by Dougherty and Faber [9] thorough investigation of lattice coverings. For instance, they show that $AC(2\delta, k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\delta} 2^i \binom{\delta}{i} \binom{k}{i}$. Their approach works well in finding lower bounds for $AC(d, k)$ for small even values of d . It is proved that $AC(4, k) = 2k^2 + 2k + 1$ which reaches the above upper bound, and there is also a nice construction for $d = 6$. On the other hand, for large d and small k , the best general result up to now is obtained by Macbeth, Šiagiová and Širáň in [18]:

$$(1) \quad AC(d, 2) > \frac{3}{8}d^2 - 4,$$

where $d = 4q - 2$ for an odd prime power q . As Baker, Harman and Pintz proved in [2] that there is always a prime p such that $p \in [x - x^{0.525}, x]$ for sufficiently large x , we may extend (1) to all sufficiently large integers d , by simply adding more elements into the corresponding generating set; see [25]. Based on a similar

approach, in [23] Vetrík showed that $CC(d, 2) \geq \frac{13}{36}(d+2)(d-4)$ for any $d = 6p - 2$ where p is a prime such that $p \neq 13$ and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{13}$.

Relative difference sets and direct product difference sets from finite Desarguesian planes play important roles in the construction of large Cayley graphs of diameter 2 in [18, 23, 24]. In Section 2, we give a short introduction to those generalized difference sets derived from finite projective planes. In Section 3, we summarize the known approaches on constructing large Cayley graphs with diameter 2 based on abelian groups and present two results to improve the lower bound for $AC(d, 2)$ for infinitely many d .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G a group of order v with the identity element e , and let D be a k -subset of G . Then D is called a (v, k, λ) -*difference set* if the list of differences $d_1 d_2^{-1}$ with $d_1, d_2 \in D$, $d_1 \neq d_2$, covers all elements in $G \setminus \{e\}$ exactly λ times. There are various generalizations of difference sets, such as partial difference sets, relative difference sets, etc.; see [4, Chapter 6] and [20]. In this paper, we need the following general concept.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a group of order v and $N_1, \dots, N_r$ subgroups of order $n_1, \dots, n_r$. Assume that $N_1, \dots, N_r$ intersect pairwise trivially. A $(v; n_1, \dots, n_r; k, \lambda; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ -generalized difference set (abbreviated to GDS) relative to the subgroups N_i is a k -subset D of G such that the list of differences $d_1 d_2^{-1}$ with $d_1, d_2 \in D$, $d_1 \neq d_2$, covers all the elements in $G \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_r)$ exactly λ times, and the nonzero elements in N_i exactly λ_i times. The subgroups N_i are called the exceptional subgroups. A generalized difference set D is called cyclic or abelian if G has the respective property.*

Furthermore, if $r = 1$, $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $v = mn$ where $n := n_1$, then we call D a relative difference set with parameters (m, n, k, λ) (an (m, n, k, λ) -RDS for short), and we call N_1 the forbidden subgroup. If N_1 is a direct factor of G , the RDS is called splitting.

Example 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ denote the cyclic group of order n .*

- (1) *The set $\{1, 2, 4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_7$ is a $(7, 3, 1)$ -difference set.*
- (2) *The set $\{0, 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_4$ is a $(2, 2, 2, 1)$ -RDS relative to the forbidden subgroup $\{0, 2\}$.*
- (3) *The set $\{(1, 2), (2, 0), (0, 3)\}$ is a $(16; 4, 4, 4; 3, 1; 0, 0, 0)$ -GDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ relative to the three exceptional subgroups $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \{0\}$, $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$. $\square$*

Let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ denote the set of formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, where $a_g \in \mathbb{C}$ and G is any (not necessarily abelian) group which we write here multiplicatively. We use “1” to denote the identity element of G . The set $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is basically just a complex vector space whose basis is the set of group elements. We add these vectors componentwise, i.e.

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g g + \sum_{g \in G} b_g g := \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g) g,$$

and we define a multiplication

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g g \right) := \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} a_h b_{h^{-1}g} \right) \cdot g.$$

Moreover,

$$\lambda \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) := \sum_{g \in G} (\lambda a_g) g$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

For $D = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ and $E = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g$ in $\mathbb{C}[G]$, if a_g and b_g are all integers and $a_g \leq b_g$ for each $g \in G$, then we write $D \preceq E$.

If $D = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, we define

$$D^{(t)} := \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^t.$$

An important case is $D^{(-1)} = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1}$. If D is a subset of G , we identify D with the group ring element $\sum_{g \in D} d$. The following result is straightforward.

Lemma 2.2. *The set D is a $(v; n_1, \dots, n_r; k, \lambda; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ -GDS relative to the subgroups N_i if and only if*

$$(2) \quad D \cdot D^{(-1)} = k - (\lambda(1 - r) + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r) + \lambda(G - N_1 - N_2 - \dots - N_r) + \lambda_1 N_1 + \dots + \lambda_r N_r. \quad \square$$

In [8], Dembowski and Piper have classified finite projective planes with large abelian collineation groups into eight cases. Several cases of them have close connections to generalized difference sets with $\lambda = 1$. We refer to [16] for an introduction of projective planes. Let n and G be the order of the corresponding projective plane and collineation group respectively. We summarize these (generalized) difference sets D and the corresponding group ring equations (2) in the following, which can be found in [10, 11, 12, 13].

- (I) **Planar difference set:** Here D is an $(n^2 + n + 1, n + 1, 1)$ -difference set and equivalently

$$D \cdot D^{(-1)} = n + G.$$

- (II) **Relative difference set:** Here D is an $(n, n, n, 1)$ -RDS with a forbidden subgroup N of order n and equivalently

$$D \cdot D^{(-1)} = n + G - N.$$

Furthermore $D \cdot N = D^{(-1)} \cdot N = G$.

- (III) **Affine difference set:** Here D is an $(n + 1, n - 1, n, 1)$ -RDS with a forbidden subgroup N of order $n - 1$ and equivalently

$$D \cdot D^{(-1)} = n + G - N.$$

Furthermore, $D \cdot N = D^{(-1)} \cdot N = G - M$, where M corresponds to a $(n - 1)$ -subset of G .

- (IV) **Direct product difference set:** Here D is an $(n(n - 1); n, n - 1; n - 1, 1; 0, 0)$ -GDS relative to subgroups N_1 and N_2 of orders n and $n - 1$, equivalently

$$D \cdot D^{(-1)} = n + G - N_1 - N_2.$$

Furthermore, $D \cdot N_1 = D^{(-1)} \cdot N_1 = G$, $D \cdot N_2 = D^{(-1)} \cdot N_2 = G - N_2$ and $N_1 \cdot N_2 = G$.

- (V) **Neofield**: Here D is an $((n-1)^2; n-1, n-1, n-1; n-2, 1; 0, 0, 0)$ -GDS relative to three subgroups N_1, N_2 and N_3 , all of which are of order $n-1$ and intersect pairwise trivially. In group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$, it can be equivalently written as

$$D \cdot D^{(-1)} = n + G - N_1 - N_2 - N_3.$$

Furthermore, $D \cdot N_i = D^{(-1)} \cdot N_i = G - M_i$ where M_i are certain subsets of size $n-1$ in G and $N_i \cdot N_j = G$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \neq j$.

All known examples of these (generalized) difference sets come from projective planes, which are not necessarily desarguesian. Actually, there are generalized difference sets of types (II), (III), (IV) and (V) contained in nonabelian groups; see [17] for (II) derived from non-commutative semifields, [12] for (III) from non-abelian collineation groups of the Desarguesian planes and [14] for (IV) and (V) from nearfields.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In [18, 23], the $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference set

$$\{(x, x^2) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \times (\mathbb{F}_q, +), \quad q \text{ odd}$$

and the direct product difference set

$$\{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\} \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \times (\mathbb{F}_q^*, *)$$

are used to construct large Cayley graphs of diameter 2. A similar approach is applied in [24] using a direct product difference set in a nonabelian group with many involutions.

The main idea of all these approach can be described as follows. Let G be a group and $D \subseteq G$ one of the generalized difference sets listed above. There are k subgroups N_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $k \leq 3$. We know that the order of G is approximately n^2 and the orders of D and subgroups N_i are approximately n . Let H be an additively written abelian group and we consider $G \times H$. For $A \subseteq G$ and $h \in H$, let (A, h) denote $\{(a, h) : a \in A\}$. Let Ψ, Λ_i ($i \leq k$) be subsets of H . Viewed as an element in the group ring, we define $S \subseteq G \times H$ as

$$S := \sum_{g \in \Psi} (D, g) + \sum_{g \in \Psi} (D^{(-1)}, -g) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{h \in \Lambda_i} (N_i, h) + \Upsilon,$$

where $\Upsilon \subseteq G \times H$ is of small size compared with n . Furthermore, to construct undirected Cayley graphs, we want S to be symmetric, i.e. viewed as an element in $\mathbb{C}[G]$, $S = S^{(-1)}$. Here it means that $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i^{(-1)}$ and $\Upsilon = \Upsilon^{(-1)}$. There could be an overlapping between (D, g) and $(D^{(-1)}, -g)$ when $g = -g$. However, from the difference set property, it follows that there are at most 2 elements in the intersection of D and $D^{(-1)}$. Let $\psi = \#\Psi$ and $\theta_i = \#\Lambda_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. From the above analysis, we deduce that the size of S is approximately $(2\psi + \sum_i \theta_i)n$.

Let us look at the elements in $S \cdot S$. There are several types of them:

- $(DD^{(-1)}, g_1 - g_2) = (n + G - \sum N_i, g_1 - g_2)$,
- $(D \cdot N_i, g + h_i) = (G - M, g + h_i)$ and $(D^{(-1)} \cdot N_i, -g + h_i) = (G - M, -g + h_i)$, where M is of size $n-1$ or 0 depending on D and i . To be precise, for (I), (II) and $D \cdot N_1$ in (IV), $\#M = n-1$; for (III), $D \cdot N_2$ in (IV) and (V), $\#M = 0$.
- $(N_i \cdot N_j, h_i + h_j) = (G, h_i + h_j)$ where $i \neq j$.

- $(N_i \cdot N_i, h_i + h_i) = \#N_i(N_i, 2h_i)$,
- $(D \cdot D, j_1 + j_2)$ and $(D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)}, -j_1 - j_2)$.

Let us look at the first component of these sets. For the first three ones, we see that almost every element in G appears, which does not hold for the last two cases.

We want to use S to define a Cayley graph of diameter 2. By Proposition 1.1, we have to show that every element in $G \times H$ can be written as $s_1 s_2$ where $s_1, s_2 \in S$, i.e.

$$G \times H \preceq S \cdot S \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}[G \times H].$$

Hence one strategy is to choose Ψ and Λ_i as small as possible such that

$$(3) \quad H \preceq \Psi \cdot \Psi^{(-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi \Lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi^{(-1)} \Lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq j} \Lambda_i \Lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}[H].$$

Then we will see that most of the elements in $G \times H$ appear in the set of differences. For those exceptions, we may choose Υ carefully to generate more differences to cover them.

Example 3.1. [18, Theorem 2] *Let D be a direct product difference set in $G = (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \times (\mathbb{F}_q^*, *)$ and $H := \mathbb{Z}_6$. Now the exceptional subgroups are $N_1 = (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \times \{1\}$ and $N_2 = \{0\} \times (\mathbb{F}_q^*, *)$. Let $\Psi := \{1\}$, $\Lambda_1 = \{0\}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{3\}$. It is easy to check that (3) holds. By choosing $\Upsilon = \{(0, 1, 1), (0, 1, -1)\}$, it is routine to verify that $S \cdot S$ covers all the elements in $G \times H$.*

Next we are going to present two constructions of Cayley graphs which improve the lower bound for $AC(d, 2)$.

3.1. Construction I. The first construction is based on neofields. Up to equivalence, the unique known $((n-1)^2; n-1, n-1, n-1; n-2, 1; 0, 0, 0)$ -GDS in abelian groups exists in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*, *) \times (\mathbb{F}_q^*, *)$, where $n = q$. The three exceptional subgroups N_1 , N_2 and N_3 are $\mathbb{F}_q^* \times \{1\}$, $\{1\} \times \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ respectively. The generalized difference set is

$$D := \{(x, 1-x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q, x \neq 0, 1\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$(4) \quad D \cdot N_i = D^{(-1)} \cdot N_i = G - N_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5) \quad D \cdot N_3 = D^{(-1)} \cdot N_3 = G - \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}.$$

Clearly q is even if and only if $D \cdot N_3$ equals $G - N_3$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let q be a prime power and $d = \begin{cases} 8q-6, & q \text{ is even;} \\ 8q-4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then*

$$(6) \quad AC(d, 2) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{25}{64}(d-2)^2, & q \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{25}{64}(d-4)^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $D := \{(x, 1-x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q, x \neq 0, 1\}$ and $\tilde{D}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{D} := \begin{cases} D \cup \{(1, 1)\}, & q \text{ is even;} \\ D \cup \{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The exceptional subgroups are $N_1 := \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \{1\}$, $N_2 := \{1\} \times \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $N_3 := \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$. By (4) and (5), we see that in the group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$,

$$(7) \quad \tilde{D} \cdot N_i = \tilde{D}^{(-1)} \cdot N_i \succeq G,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Now we define subset $S \subseteq \tilde{G} := G \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ as an element in the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{G}]$ by

$$S := (\tilde{D}, d) + (\tilde{D}^{(-1)}, -d) + (N_1, a_1) + (N_1, -a_1) + (N_2, a_2) + (N_2, -a_2) + (N_3, a_3) + (N_3, -a_3),$$

where $a_1 = (1, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1)$, $a_3 = (0, 2)$ and $d = (1, 0)$. Hence, in the language used before, $\Psi = \{d\}$ and $\Lambda_i = \{a_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

First, it is not difficult to see that

$$(G, 0, 0) \leq (\tilde{D}, d) \cdot (\tilde{D}^{(-1)}, d) + \sum_{i=1}^3 (N_i, a_i) \cdot (N_i, -a_i).$$

Second, one verifies

$$\{\pm d \pm a_i : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\pm a_i \pm a_j : i \neq j\} = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Together with (7), we know that $S \cdot S$ covers all the elements in $\tilde{G}$. As

$$\#S = \begin{cases} 8q - 6, & \text{if } q \text{ is even;} \\ 8q - 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

which equals the valency d of the graph, we have

$$q - 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{d - 2}{8}, & \text{if } q \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{d - 4}{8}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Together with the fact that the order of the graph equals $25(q - 1)^2$, we get (6). $\square$

Remark. *It is natural to ask whether we can improve Theorem 3.1 by choosing suitable subsets Ψ and Λ_i in H which is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$. We made an exhaustive computer search up to $\#H = 50$, and there is no better result.*

Corollary 3.2. *For sufficiently large degree d ,*

$$AC(d, 2) \geq \frac{25}{64}d^2 - 2.1 \cdot d^{1.525}.$$

Proof. Let S be the defining set of the Cayley graph in Theorem 3.1 and let q be odd. That means $\#S = 8q - 4$ and the graph has $25(q - 1)^2$ vertices.

For any integer $d \in [8q - 4, 25(q - 1)^2 - 1]$, we can choose and add $(d - \#S)$ elements in G into S to get a new set $\tilde{S}$ such that $\#\tilde{S} = d$ and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^{-1}$. Clearly the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, \tilde{S})$ is still of diameter 2.

Now we fix d , which is sufficiently large. Let $b := d/8 + 1/2$. By [2], there is a prime q such that $b - b^{0.525} \leq q \leq b$. Hence, we can take this q and construct the

Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, \tilde{S})$ such that $\#\tilde{S} = d$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \#G &= 25(q-1)^2 = 25(b - b^{0.525} - 1)^2 \\ &> 25(b^2 - 2b^{1.525}) \\ &> 25\left(\frac{d^2}{64} - 2\left(\frac{d}{8}\right)^{1.525}\right) \\ &> \frac{25}{64}d^2 - 2.1 \cdot d^{1.525}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3.2. Construction II. The second construction is based on a special property of certain $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference sets, where q is even. This construction further improves the lower bound for $AC(d, 2)$ in Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let m be an odd positive integer and $q = 2^m$. We represent $\mathbb{Z}_4^m$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with the group operation*

$$(a, b) * (c, d) = (a + c, b + d + a \cdot c).$$

Then the set $D = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$ is a $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference set in $\mathbb{Z}_4^m$ with the forbidden subgroup $N = (\{0\} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, *)$. Moreover, in the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_4^m]$, we have

$$D \cdot D + D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)} = 2G.$$

Proof. The proof that D is a $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference set can be found in [21, 22, 26]. Actually D corresponds to the trivial planar function $f(x) = 0$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ which gives rise to the Desarguesian plane of order 2^m . For the readers' convenience, we repeat the proof.

Noting that $(a, b)^{-1} = (a, b + a^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D \cdot D^{(-1)} &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (x, 0) \cdot \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (y, y^2) \\ &= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (x + y, y^2 + xy) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, ay) \\ &= q \cdot (0, 0) + G - N, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that D is a $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference set and the forbidden subgroup is N . Furthermore,

$$(8) \quad D \cdot D = \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (x + y, xy) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, x^2 + ax),$$

and

$$(9) \quad D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)} = \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (x + y, x^2 + xy + y^2) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, x^2 + ax + a^2).$$

Let $L_a : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ be the mapping defined by $L_a(x) = x^2 + ax$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Clearly L_a is additive and $L_a(x) = 0$ has exactly two roots. It implies that the image sets of L_a and of the mapping $x \mapsto L_a(x) + a^2$ are both of size 2^{m-1} .

Furthermore, these two image sets have no common element, because m is odd and $x^2 + ax + a^2 = 0$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Hence

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, x^2 + ax) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, x^2 + ax + a^2) = 2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (a, x).$$

It implies that $D \cdot D + D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)} = 2G$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $d = 3q$, where $q = 2^m$ with odd m . Then*

$$AC(d, 2) \geq \frac{4}{9}d^2.$$

Proof. Let D be the $(q, q, q, 1)$ -relative difference set defined in $\mathbb{Z}_4^m$ as in Lemma 3.3. We denote the forbidden subgroup by N . The subset $S \subseteq G \times \mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_4^{m+1}$ is defined as an element in the group ring as

$$S := (D, 1) + (D^{(-1)}, -1) + (N, 0) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_4^{m+1}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S \cdot S &= (D \cdot D^{(-1)}, 0) + q(N, 0) + (D \cdot D, 2) + (D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)}, 2) \\ &\quad + (D \cdot N, 1) + (D^{(-1)} \cdot N, -1) \\ &= q(0, 0) + (q-1)(N, 0) + (G, \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{2\}) + (D \cdot D, 2) + (D^{(-1)} \cdot D^{(-1)}, 2) \\ &= q(0, 0) + (q-1)(N, 0) + (G, \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{2\}) + 2(G, 2) \quad (\text{Lemma 3.3}) \\ &= q(0, 0) + (q-1)(N, 0) + (G, 2) + (G, \mathbb{Z}_4). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S \cdot S$ covers all the elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4^{m+1}$. As the valency d of $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_4^{m+1}, S)$ is $\#S = 3q$, we have $q = d/3$ and the order of this graph is $4q^2 = \frac{4}{9}d^2$. $\square$

In the end, we consider the possibility to improve the lower bound for $AC(d, 2)$ using the approach in Theorem 3.4. Let H be an additively written abelian group and $\#H = l$. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_4^m$ and D a $(2^m, 2^m, 2^m, 1)$ -relative difference set in G . Let $S \subseteq G \times H$ be defined as

$$S := \sum_{g \in \Psi} (D, g) + \sum_{g \in \Psi} (D^{(-1)}, -g) + \sum_{h \in \Lambda} (N, h).$$

Let $s := 2\psi + \theta = \#S$, where $\psi = \#\Psi$ and $\theta = \#\Lambda$. By counting the elements in $S \cdot S$, we see that the inequality

$$l \leq 1 + \psi(\psi - 1) + \frac{\psi(\psi + 1)}{2} + 2\psi\theta$$

must be satisfied.

Now let us consider the maximum value of

$$\tau := \frac{1 + \psi(\psi - 1) + \frac{\psi(\psi + 1)}{2} + 2\psi\theta}{s^2}.$$

For given s , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &\leq \frac{1 + \psi(\psi - 1) + \frac{\psi(\psi+1)}{2} + 2\psi(s - 2\psi)}{s^2} \\ &= \left(-\frac{5}{2}\psi^2 + \left(2s - \frac{1}{2}\right)\psi + 1\right)/s^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{10}\left(2s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 1\right)/s^2,\end{aligned}$$

which is smaller than $4/9$ when $s \geq 4$. Therefore, it is impossible to improve the result in Theorem 3.4 by using the same approach with any other groups H of size larger than 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The second author is supported by the Research Project of MIUR (Italian Office for University and Research) “Strutture geometriche, Combinatoria e loro Applicazioni” 2012.

REFERENCES

- [1] The degree diameter problem for general graphs. http://combinatoricswiki.org/wiki/The_Degree_Diameter_Problem_for_General_Graphs.
- [2] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, and J. Pintz. The Difference Between Consecutive Primes, II. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 83(3):532–562, Jan. 2001.
- [3] E. Bannai and T. Ito. On finite moore graphs. *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo*, 20:191–208, 1973.
- [4] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz. *Design theory. Vol. I*, volume 69 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999.
- [5] N. Biggs. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [6] W. G. Brown. On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 9:281–285, Jan. 1966.
- [7] R. M. Damerell. On moore graphs. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 74:227–236, 9 1973.
- [8] P. Dembowski and F. Piper. Quasiregular collineation groups of finite projective planes. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 99:53–75, 1967.
- [9] R. Dougherty and V. Faber. The Degree-Diameter Problem for Several Varieties of Cayley Graphs I: The Abelian Case. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 17(3):478–519, Jan. 2004.
- [10] M. J. Ganley. Direct product difference sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 23(3):321–332, 1977.
- [11] M. J. Ganley and R. L. McFarland. On quasiregular collineation groups. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 26:327–331, 1975.
- [12] M. J. Ganley and E. Spence. Relative difference sets and quasiregular collineation groups. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 19(2):134–153, 1975.
- [13] D. Ghinelli and D. Jungnickel. Finite projective planes with a large abelian group. In *Surveys in combinatorics, 2003 (Bangor)*, volume 307 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, page 175–237. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [14] Y. Hiramane. Difference sets relative to disjoint subgroups. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 88(2):205–216, Nov. 1999.
- [15] A. Hoffman and R. Singleton. On Moore Graphs with Diameters 2 and 3. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 4(5):497–504, Nov. 1960.
- [16] D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper. *Projective planes*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 6.
- [17] D. Jungnickel. On automorphism groups of divisible designs. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics. Journal Canadien de Mathématiques*, 34(2):257–297, 1982.

- [18] H. Macbeth, J. Šiagiová, and J. Širáň. Cayley graphs of given degree and diameter for cyclic, Abelian, and metacyclic groups. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(1):94–99, Jan. 2012.
- [19] M. Miller and J. Širáň. Moore Graphs and Beyond: A survey of the Degree/Diameter Problem. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 1000:DS14: May 16, 2013, May 2013.
- [20] A. Pott. *Finite geometry and character theory*, volume 1601 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [21] A. Pott, K.-U. Schmidt, and Y. Zhou. Semifields, relative difference sets, and bent functions. In H. Niederreiter, A. Ostafe, D. Panario, and A. Winterhof, editors, *Algebraic Curves and Finite Fields, Cryptography and Other Applications*. De Gruyter, 2014.
- [22] K.-U. Schmidt and Y. Zhou. Planar functions over fields of characteristic two. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 40(2):503–526, Sept. 2014.
- [23] T. Vetrk. Abelian Cayley Graphs of Given Degree and Diameter 2 and 3. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 30(6):1587–1591, Sept. 2013.
- [24] J. Šiagiová and J. Širáň. Approaching the Moore bound for diameter two by Cayley graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 102(2):470–473, Mar. 2012.
- [25] J. Širáň, J. Šiagiová, and Ždímalová. Large graphs of diameter two and given degree. In *Proc. IWONT 2010*, page 379–382, Univ. Politecnica de Catalunya, 2011.
- [26] Y. Zhou. $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$ -relative difference sets and their representations. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 21(12):563–584, 2013.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, OTTO-VON-GUERICKE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, D-39106 MAGDEBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: alexander.pott@ovgu.de

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI “R. CACCIOPOLI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI “FEDERICO II”, I-80126 NAPOLI, ITALY

E-mail address: yue.zhou.ovgu@gmail.com